

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the discussion paper.  
It seems to me that the 'light touch' approach is to be commended. The priorities also seem appropriate and realisable although the means of ensuring tenants' views are actually listened to is unclear as is the means by which they are actually informed of their RSL's performance.

As you will see my comments are those of an individual RSL tenant.  
Forgive the pomposity but I conducted a straw poll of some tenants and none had heard of or were aware of the existence of the Scottish Housing Regulator (no surprise).

For avoidance of doubt I have no problem with Bield HA as my RSL.  
The need to include a statutory duty to include notifiable events seems a rather knee jerk response on the basis that RSLs are obliged to as a matter of good governance to maintain an effective and current risk register.

Once again thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Name: Alan Davidson