

## Our regulation of social housing in Scotland Consultation questions

We welcome your general feedback on our proposals as well as answers to the specific questions we have raised. You can read our consultation paper on our website at <a href="www.housingregulator.gov.scot">www.housingregulator.gov.scot</a> Please do not feel you have to answer every question unless you wish to do so.

Please do not f	eei you nave to an	swer every question unless you	wish to do so.	
Send your co	mpleted question	naire to us by <b>15 December</b>	2023.	
By email @: regulatoryframe		workreview@shr.gov.scot		
Or post to: Scottish Housing 2 <sup>nd</sup> floor , George 36 North Hanover		House		
Name/orga	nisation name			
Thenue Ho	ousing Associat	ion		
Address				
423 Londo	n Road			
Glasgow				
Postcode G40 1AG		Phone 0141 550 3581	Email admin@thenuehousing.co.uk	
To help make we receive, as response. If y	this a transparer s we receive ther ou are respondir	esponse to be handled at process we intend to publish. Please let us know how young as an individual, we will not sponse to be published of	ou would like us to handle on the publish your contact details.	your
If you are res	sponding as an i	ndividual		
Please tell ι	ıs how you wou	ld like your response to be	published.	Pick 1
Publish my full response, including my name				$\boxtimes$
Please publish my response, but not my name				



1. Do you agree with our proposed approach on specific assurance in Annual Assurance Statements?

We agree with the approach on specific assurance in Annual Assurance Statements and the amendment to the guidance to allow the inclusion of explicit assurance on a particular issue or issues.

2. Do you agree with our proposal to initiate a comprehensive review of the Annual Return on the Charter which we will consult on next year?

We agree with proposal to review the Annual Return on the Charter including the working groups to properly consider and consult in the key areas such as tenant safety, damp and mould and EESSH.

- 3. Do you agree with our proposed amendments to strengthen the emphasis on landlords listening to tenants and service users to include a requirement that landlords:
  - a. provide tenants, residents and service users with appropriate ways to provide feedback and raise concerns, and
  - b. ensure that they consider such information and provide quick and effective responses?

We support this approach as listening to tenants and service users is central to our approach, but also feel that there is already a strong approach to tenant consultation within the sector. We also agree with the proposal to amend some of the wording in response to the feedback so not to suggest that current routes to feedback are not safe.

4. Do you agree with our proposed approach to Notifiable Events?

We welcome the approach to only make minor changes so not to complicate. We feel the current approach works well and clarity can always be sought through our Regulation Manager.

5. Do you agree with our proposed approach to regulatory status?

We are happy with maintaining the current approach of having three regulatory statuses and with the minor amendments to the language in the second and third statuses around non-compliance.

6. Do you agree with our proposed approach to Significant Performance failures?

Yes, we agree that the suggested amendments make it clearer in the factsheet for tenants on the routes for tenants complain.

7. Do you agree with our proposed changes to the guidance on *Annual Assurance Statements*?

We are in agreement with the proposed changes.

8. Do you agree with our proposed changes to the guidance on *Consultation where the Regulator is directing a transfer of assets?* 

We believe that the proposed changes give more clarity in the guidance.

9. Do you agree with our proposal to maintain the Determination at this time?

This is a sensible approach as the review of the current Statement of Recommended Practice has not been completed. A consultation following this review can then discuss any potential amendments.



10. V	Do you agree with our proposed changes to the guidance on <i>Determination of</i> what is meant by a step to enforce a security over an RSL's land?
	Yes
	Do you agree with our proposal to maintain the guidance on <i>Financial viability of</i> RSLs?
	Yes
12.	Do you agree with our proposed changes to the guidance on Group structures?
	Yes
	Do you agree with our proposed changes to the guidance on <i>How to request an appeal</i> of a regulatory decision?
	Yes
	Do you agree with our proposal to maintain the guidance on How to request a review of regulatory decision?
	Yes, the current guidance is clear.
15.	Do you agree with our proposed changes to the guidance on <i>Notifiable events</i> ?
	Yes, we agree with the emphasis on notifying regarding critical issues only.
	Do you agree with our proposed changes to the guidance on <i>Preparation of financial</i> statements?
	Yes, we agree with the minor amendments.
	Do you agree with our proposal to maintain the guidance on Section 72 reporting events of material significance?
	Yes
	Do you agree with our proposed changes to the guidance on Tenant consultation and approval?
	Yes, the amendments make clear equality considerations.
	Would you like to give feedback on any aspect of our impact assessments? Are there other potential impacts that we should consider?
	We feel the relevant impacts are covered in the assessments.

