

Assurance Map, September 2023

		ow we have been effective (source: Strategy 2023/24)						
				We respond effectively when the interests of tenants, people who are or have experienced homelessness, Gypsy/Traveller s and factored owners are at risk.	users do not suffer serious detriment, for example, losing their status as	We strive to be open, transparent and accountable on our approach and performance.	We seek to influence others to focus on our priorities.	We work with our stakeholders to give them confidence in how we regulate.
Risk mapping	Assurance Tools	Frequency	Who		social housing tenants.			
	First Line Management Controls		I					
R2, R4, R5, R7	Monitoring staff performance against objectives	6-monthly	Line Man	✓	√	✓	√	√
R2, R4, R5, R7	Monitoring group and team work plans	Quarterly	Directors	✓	✓	✓	✓	
R2, R3, R4, R7	Portfolio based structure with case workload oversight by Assistant Directors	Ongoing	A. Director	√	√			√
R2, R3, R4	Regulation Group casework meetings	As needed	A/. Director	√	√	√		
R2, R4, R5, R7	Operating plan & risk register monitoring	Monthly/ Quarterly	MT / ARAC / Board	~		√	√	
R2, R3, R4, R5, R7	Management Team business oversight	Ongoing	MT	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
R2, R3	Lessons learned from statutory interventions	Post-intervene	Reg Group	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
R2, R4	People survey results and action planning	Annual	MT			✓		
R1, R5, R7	Budget monitoring	Monthly / Quarterly	MT / Board			√		
R4	Consistent use of a Programme Management approach	As needed, major projects / programmes	MT			√		
R2, R3, R4, R5	Complaints review for learning log	Quarterly	MT			✓		✓
R4, R5	Directors' certificates of assurance to CEO	Annual	Directors			✓		
R1, R4, R5	Financial controls to guard against management override	Ongoing	Bus Man / MT			✓		
	Number of assurance tools ticked / total number of assurance tools			7/13	6/13	12/13	5/13	5/13

R1 – We experience a significant reduction in quality or break in shared services from SG, which impacts on our ability to operate effectively.

R2 – Our Regulatory Framework does not work effectively.

R3 – We lose stakeholder support.

R4 – We suffer serious business failure.

R5 – We fail to comply with the duties and expectations as a public body. R6 – We suffer reputational damage a result of a development out with our control.

R7 - We do not have sufficient resources to deliver effectively.



We work with

stakeholders

our

How we will know we have been effective (source: Strategy 2023/24)

We strive to

transparent

be open,

We seek to

influence

others to

We manage

any landlord

failures to

We respond

effectively when

the interests of

				the interests of tenants, people who are or have experienced homelessness, Gypsy/Traveller s and factored owners are at risk.	ensure tenants and service users do not suffer serious detriment, for example, losing their status as	and accountable on our approach and performance.	focus on our priorities.	to give them confidence in how we regulate.
Risk mapping	Assurance Tools	Frequency	Who	1.5	social housing tenants.			
	Governance Oversight							
R1, R3,	Chair's & Members' report	Each meeting	Chair			✓	✓	✓
R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, R7	Chief Executive's reports & accountable officer role	Each meeting /	CE	√	✓	√	√	√
R1, R2, R4, R5, R7	Quarterly Corporate Performance updates	Quarterly	Board	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, R6	Risk management arrangements including quarterly updates and board paper templates	Quarterly	Board / ARAC	√	√	√	√	√
R1, R4, R5, R6, R7	Quarterly budget updates and mid-year reviews	Quarterly	Board			✓		
R1, R4, R5, R6	ARAC updates, oversight of audit recommendations and annual statement of assurance	Quarterly	ARAC			√		
R3, R5	ARAC and Board self-assessments	Annual	ARAC / Board			√		√
R5,	Best Value monitoring (Board papers and annual review)	Each meeting / Annual	Board / ARAC			√		
R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, R7	Stakeholder attendance / agenda items at Board meetings	Each meeting	Board	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
R2, R3, R4, R5	Special topic reports/updates/workshops	Adhoc	All	✓	✓	✓		
R1, R2, R3, R4, R5	Private Board discussions with CEO	Each meeting	CE / Board	√	✓		√	
R5	Topic specific Programme Boards	As required	As required	√	√	√	√	√
R5	Matters arising updates	Each meeting	Board			✓		
	Number of assurance tools ticked / total number of assurance tools			7/13	7/13	12/13	7/13	7/13

R1 – We experience a significant reduction in quality or break in shared services from SG, which impacts on our ability to operate effectively.

R2 – Our Regulatory Framework does not work effectively.

R3 – We lose stakeholder support.

R4 – We suffer serious business failure.

R5 – We fail to comply with the duties and expectations as a public body. R6 – We suffer reputational damage a result of a development out with our control.

R7 - We do not have sufficient resources to deliver effectively.



We work with our

stakeholders to

give them

confidence in

How we will know we have been effective (source: Strategy 2023/24)

We strive to

transparent

be open,

We seek

influence

others to

to

We manage

any landlord

failures to

tenants, people ensure tenants and

We respond

effectively when

the interests of

Risk mapping	Assurance Tools	Frequency	Who	who are or have experienced homelessness, Gypsy/Traveller s and factored owners are at risk.	and service users do not suffer serious detriment, for example, losing their status as social housing tenants.	accountable on our approach and performance.	focus on our priorities.	how we regulate.
	Independent Scrutiny							
R2, R5, R6, R7	External & Internal Audit scrutiny	Annual	Auditors	√	✓	✓		√
R2, R3, R4, R5, R6	Appeal arrangements	Demand-led	Appeal panel members	√	√	√		✓
R1, R2, R3, R5, R6, R7	Liaison with stakeholders, e.g. landlord and tenant bodies, SG, Ministers, advocacy groups, auditors	ongoing	Staff / MT / Members	✓	√	✓	√	√
R2, R3, R4, R5, R6	Liaison with other regulators	ongoing	Staff / MT / Members	✓	√	✓	√	✓
R4, R5, R6	Any decisions from the Scottish and UK information Commissioners and the SPSO	reactive	MT			✓		✓
R2, R3, R5	Consultation responses & independent analysis	adhoc	MT			✓	√	✓
R4, R5, R6	Independent accreditation schemes	As required	MT			✓		✓
R1, R4, R5, R6, R7	Assurance returns / reports to Scottish Government on corporate responsibilities e.g. climate change, cyber security, corporate parenting, biodiversity	Varied	MT			√		~
	Number of assurance tools ticked / total number of assurance tools			4/8	4/8	8/8	3/8	8/8

R1 – We experience a significant reduction in quality or break in shared services from SG, which impacts on our ability to operate effectively.

R2 – Our Regulatory Framework does not work effectively.

R3 – We lose stakeholder support.

R4 – We suffer serious business failure.

R5 – We fail to comply with the duties and expectations as a public body.
R6 – We suffer reputational damage a result of a development out with our control.
R7 - We do not have sufficient resources to deliver effectively.



How we will know we have been effective (source: Strategy 2023/24)

Risk mapping	Assurance Tools	Frequency	Who	We respond effectively when the interests of tenants, people who are or have experienced homelessness, Gypsy/Traveller s and factored owners are at risk.	We manage any landlord failures to ensure tenants and service users do not suffer serious detriment, for example, losing their status as social	We strive to be open, transparent and accountable on our approach and performance.	We seek to influence others to focus on our priorities.	We work with our stakeholders to give them confidence in how we regulate.
Nisk iliappilig	Assurance 100is	requericy	1110		housing tenants.			
	External Accountability				teriario.			
R3, R5, R6	Board & ARAC minutes	Each meeting	ARAC / Board			√		√
R1, R3, R4, R5	Framework agreement with Scottish Government	Every three years	Board			✓		√
R2, R3, R6	Engagement plans	Annual and as needed	Lead regulator	✓	✓	✓	✓	√
R2, R3, R4, R6	Lessons learned accounts	As needed	Director / A. Directors	~	√	√	√	√
R2, R4, R5	Annual report & accounts	Annual	CE / Board	√	√	✓	✓	√
R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, R7	Scottish Parliament Committee evidence sessions and real time updates	Annual	CE / Chair	✓	√	✓	✓	√
R2, R3, R4, R5, R6	Complaints learning and FOI logs	Quarterly / ongoing	MT / staff	✓		✓		√
R2, R3, R4, R5, R6	Stakeholder groups e.g. SI and landlord forums	As needed	MT members / Board members	√	~	*	~	*
R2, R3, R4, R5, R6	Regulatory publications, e.g. Regulatory Framework and guidance, risk assessment focus and outcomes, engagement plans	Throughout	Reg Group	√	√	√	√	√
R3, R4, R5	Corporate publications, e.g. strategy, responsiveness targets, annual report	Annual and throughout	MT			✓		√
R4, R5, R6	Board member information including declarations and gift & hospitality register	Appointment then Reactive	CG Manager			✓		√
R2, R3	FAQs and policy statements (speeches & blogs)	throughout	All	✓		✓	✓	✓
	Number of assurance tools ticked / total number of assurance tools			8/12	6/12	12/12	7/12	12/12

R1 – We experience a significant reduction in quality or break in shared services from SG, which impacts on our ability to operate effectively.

R2 – Our Regulatory Framework does not work effectively.

R3 – We lose stakeholder support. R4 – We suffer serious business failure.

R5 – We fail to comply with the duties and expectations as a public body.
R6 – We suffer reputational damage a result of a development out with our control.
R7 - We do not have sufficient resources to deliver effectively.