

## Our regulation of social housing in Scotland Consultation questions

We welcome your general feedback on our proposals as well as answers to the specific questions we have raised. You can read our consultation paper on our website at <a href="www.housingregulator.gov.scot">www.housingregulator.gov.scot</a> Please do not feel you have to answer every question unless you wish to do so.

Send your co	mpleted qu	estionnaire to us by <b>15</b> D	December 2023.			
By email @:	regulatory	rframeworkreview@shr.g	ov.scot			
Or post to:	2 <sup>nd</sup> floor , 0	ousing Regulator Seorge House lanover Street, G1 2AD				
Name/organisation name						
Home in Scotland						
Address						
Pavillion 6, 321 Springhill Parkway, Glasgow Business Park,						
.al						
	OFFICE.					
Postcode G69 6GA		Phone 07904608843	Email charlotte.burnham@home	aroup.org.uk		
To help make we receive, as response. If y  Are you hap	this a trans s we receiv you are res opy for yo No	e them. Please let us kno ponding as an individual,	nandled and to publish on our website the ow how you would like us to he we will not publish your conta blished on our website?	andle your		
Please tell ι	ıs how you	ı would like your respo	nse to be published.	Pick 1		
Publish my full response, including my name						
Please publish my response, but not my name						



1. Do you agree with our proposed approach on specific assurance in Annual Assurance Statements?

Yes however we would welcome reasonable lead in time and clear, and accompanying guidance in advance of regulatory submissions. Any additions should be limited so as not to end up with a large cumulation of additional information being requested in any given year.

	given year.
2.	Do you agree with our proposal to initiate a comprehensive review of the Annual Return on the Charter which we will consult on next year?
	Yes
3.	Do you agree with our proposed amendments to strengthen the emphasis on landlords listening to tenants and service users to include a requirement that landlords:  a. provide tenants, residents and service users with appropriate ways to provide feedback and raise concerns, and  b. ensure that they consider such information and provide quick and effective responses?
	Yes
4.	Do you agree with our proposed approach to Notifiable Events?
	Yes, this is welcomed to ensure consistency of approach across the sector, and we would welcome a review of the notifiable events guidance to provide further clarity on what constitutes a notifiable event and how this will be dealt with by the SHR once reported.
5.	Do you agree with our proposed approach to regulatory status?
	Yes
6.	
	Yes
	Do you agree with our proposed changes to the guidance on <i>Annual Assurance</i> Statements?
	Yes, however we would welcome reasonable lead in time and clear, and accompanying guidance. Any additions should be limited so as not to end up with a large cumulation of additional information being requested in any given year.
8.	Do you agree with our proposed changes to the guidance on <i>Consultation where the Regulator is directing a transfer of assets?</i>
	Yes
9.	Do you agree with our proposal to maintain the Determination at this time?
	Yes

what is meant by a step to enforce a security over an RSL's land?

Yes

Do you agree with our proposed changes to the guidance on Determination of

10.



11. Do you agree with our proposal to maintain the guidance on <i>Financial viability of RSLs</i> ?
Yes
12. Do you agree with our proposed changes to the guidance on <i>Group structures</i> ?
Yes
13. Do you agree with our proposed changes to the guidance on <i>How to request an appeal of a regulatory decision</i> ?
Yes
14. Do you agree with our proposal to maintain the guidance on <i>How to request a review of a regulatory decision</i> ?
Yes
15. Do you agree with our proposed changes to the guidance on <i>Notifiable events</i> ?
Yes
16. Do you agree with our proposed changes to the guidance on <i>Preparation of financial statements</i> ?
Yes
17. Do you agree with our proposal to maintain the guidance on Section 72 reporting events of material significance?
Yes
18. Do you agree with our proposed changes to the guidance on <i>Tenant consultation and approval</i> ?
Yes
19. Would you like to give feedback on any aspect of our impact assessments? Are there other potential impacts that we should consider?
No

Thank you for taking the time to give us your feedback!